

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/644,599	08/19/2003	Walid Najib Aboul-Hosn	OMA001-US1	5566
7590 10/12/2006			EXAMINER	
Jonathan Spangler c/o PortofolioIP			BUI, VY Q	
P. O Box 52050			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Minneapolis, N	Minneapolis, MN 55402			

DATE MAILED: 10/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•		(
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/644,599	ABOUL-HOSN, WALID NAJIB			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Vy Q. Bui	3734			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	n the correspondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period varie to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATE 36(a). In no event, however, may a reposite apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTA 1, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. bly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 January 2004.					
	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims		•			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-60 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
-	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-60</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers		·			
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•	en e			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)	a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
	application from the International Bureau		Ç			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmas	**************************************	•				
Attachmer 1) Noti	nus) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su	ımmary (PTO-413)			
2) D Noti	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)	/Mail Date comal Patent Application			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				
•						

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-34, 52-60 drawn to a device/devices, classified in class 623, subclass1.15.
- II. Claims 35-51, drawn to method/methods, classified in class 623, subclass 902. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the product can be used in a different process.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Art Unit: 3734

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vy Q. Bui whose telephone number is 571-272-4692. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Tuesday and Thursday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Hayes can be reached on 571-272-4959. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Vy Q. Bui

10/02/2006

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3734